arXiv:1601.06358v1 [math.CA] 24 Jan 2016

Variable Hardy Spaces Associated with Operators Satisfying Reinforced Off-Diagonal Estimates

Dachun Yang, Junqiang Zhang* and Ciqiang Zhuo

Abstract Let L be a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$ and satisfy the reinforced (p_L, q_L) off-diagonal estimates on balls, where $p_L \in [1, 2)$ and $q_L \in (2, \infty]$. Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, 1]$ be a variable exponent function satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition. In this article, the authors introduce the variable Hardy space $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with L. By means of variable tent spaces, the authors establish the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the authors show that the dual space of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the BMO-type space $\mathrm{BMO}_{p(\cdot), L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where L^* denotes the adjoint operator of L. In particular, when L is the second order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded measurable coefficients, the authors obtain the non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and show that the fractional integral $L^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is bounded from $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{2\alpha}{n}$ and the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ bounded from $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1 Introduction

The variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a generalization of classical Lebesgue spaces, via replacing the constant exponent p by a variable exponent function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$, which consists of all measurable functions f such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p(x)}\, dx < \infty$. The study of variable Lebesgue spaces originated from Orlicz [40] in 1931, which were further developed by Nakano [38, 39]. The next major step in the investigation of variable function spaces was the paper of Kováčik and Rákosník [34] in 1991. Since then, the interest in variable function spaces has increased steadily. Nowadays these variable function spaces have been widely used in various analysis branches, for example, in harmonic analysis [15, 16, 19, 20], in fluid dynamics [1, 42], in image processing [10], in partial differential equations and variational calculus [2, 25, 43].

Recently, as a generalization of classical Hardy spaces, Nakai and Sawano [37] introduced variable Hardy spaces $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, established their atomic characterizations and investigated their dual spaces. Independently, Cruz-Uribe and Wang [18] also studied the variable Hardy spaces with some weaker assumptions on $p(\cdot)$ than those used in [37]. As

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J15; Secondary 42B30, 42B25, 42B35, 47B06.

Key words and phrases. second order divergence form elliptic operator, reinforced off-diagonal estimate, variable Hardy space, square function, maximal function, molecule, Riesz transform.

Dachun Yang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11571039 and 11361020).

*Corresponding author

a sequel of [37], Sawano [44] sharpened the conclusion of the atomic characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in [37], which was used, in [44], to establish the boundedness in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the fractional integral operator and the commutators generated by singular integral operators and BMO functions. After that, Yang et al. [48, 51] established equivalent characterizations of variable Hardy spaces via Riesz transforms and intrinsic square functions.

On the other hand, in recent years, there has been a lot of attention paid to the study of function spaces, especially Hardy spaces and BMO spaces, associated with various operators; see, for example, [5, 8, 9, 21, 22, 23, 28, 29, 31, 49]. In particular, Bui et al. [8] introduced Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates on a space of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [12, 13]. Very recently, Yang and Zhuo [47] introduced variable Hardy spaces associated with operators, denoted by $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p(\cdot):\ \mathbb{R}^n \to (0,\,1]$ is a variable exponent function satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition and L is a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t\geq 0}$ with kernels having pointwise upper bounds. Moreover, in [47], the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was established, which was further applied to study the boundedness of the fractional integral associated with L on $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the dual space of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was also investigated.

Motivated by [8] and [47], in the present article, we consider the variable Hardy spaces $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with operator L satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates, namely, Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 in Subsection 2.1 of this article. We point out that many operators satisfy these assumptions (see Remark 2.6 below). Indeed, Assumption 2.3 is weaker than the corresponding assumption imposed on L in [26, 45] which requires that the considered operator is non-negative and self-adjoint. The notion of the *reinforced* $(p_L,\,q_L)$ *off-diagonal estimates on balls* in Assumption 2.4 was introduced by Bui et al. [8] and is quite general so that it provides a framework to treat most of the results in previous works (see, [5, 9, 23, 26, 28, 31, 32, 45, 47, 49]). Under Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, we introduce the variable Hardy spaces $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 2.11 below). Then we establish their molecular characterizations via variable tent spaces. By borrowing some ideas from [28, 31], we further prove that the dual space of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the BMO-type space $\mathrm{BMO}_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where L^* denotes the adjoint operator of L. In particular, when L is the second order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded measurable coefficients, namely, $L:=-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$ (see (5.1) below for its definition), we obtain the non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and establish the boundedness of the associated fractional integral and Riesz transform on $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Comparing with the function spaces with constant exponents, a main difficulty appearing in the study on variable function spaces exists in that the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ has no explicit and direct expression. Indeed, $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is just the Minkowski functional of a convex modular ball

$$\left\{f\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n):\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^{p(x)}\,dx\leq 1\right\}$$

(see (2.5) below), which makes many estimates become very complicated. To overcome this difficulty, in the present article, we borrow some ideas from Sawano [44], to be precise,

slight variants of [44, Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2] (which are stated as Lemmas 3.7 and 5.5 below). The role of Lemma 3.7 is to reduce some estimates in terms of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norms of some series of functions into some estimates in terms of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norms of some functions, while Lemma 5.5 establishes some connection between $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ of infinite linear combinations of characteristic functions; both lemmas play crucial roles in proving the main results of the present article. On the other hand, observe that the heat semigroup of the operators considered in [47] has the pointwise upper bounds, while the heat semigroup of the operators considered in the present article only satisfies some integral estimates; this difference leads that the proofs of main results of the present article become more difficult and hence need subtler and more careful estimates, comparing with those proofs of the corresponding results in [47].

This article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we first describe Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4 imposed on the considered operator L of this article. Then we recall some notation and notions on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and give out the definition of the Hardy space $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of the square function of the heat semigroup generated by L.

In Section 3, we establish the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 3.15 below), which is an immediate consequence of Propositions 3.10, 3.12 and 3.13 below. In particular, Proposition 3.10 shows that the molecular Hardy space is a subspace of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in its proof, to overcome the difficulty caused by the variable $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we need to apply Lemma 3.7, which is different from the proofs of corresponding results of $H_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ established in [8, 28, 29]. Proposition 3.12 shows that $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of the molecular Hardy space and its proof depends on the atomic decomposition of the variable tent space $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from [51] (which is re-stated in Lemma 3.3 below) and also on Lemma 3.11 which shows that an atom of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a molecule of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the projection operator $\pi_{M,L}$, with $M \in \mathbb{N}$, defined in (3.24) below. To show Lemma 3.11, we need to make full use of properties of off-diagonal estimates on balls from Assumption 2.4 (see Definition 2.1 below), since the heat semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t\geq 0}$ considered in this article has no pointwise upper bounds, which is essentially different from that of [47].

In Section 4, by borrowing some ideas from [28, 29, 31], we introduce the BMO-type space $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Definition 4.1 below) and establish the duality between $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 4.8 below). To prove Theorem 4.8, we need to first establish several properties related to $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Proposition 4.3, Remark 4.6, Lemmas 4.4, 4.5 and 4.7 below). The essential difficulty arising here is that the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, in general, has no property of the translation invariance, namely, for any ball $B(x,\,r)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, with $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r\in(0,\,\infty)$, and $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\chi_{B(x,\,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ may not be equal to $\|\chi_{B(x+z,\,r)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. To overcome this difficulty, we make full use of a slight variant of [51, Lemma 2.6] (see Lemma 3.8 below), which is different from the case that $p(\cdot)\equiv$ constant $\in(0,\,1]$ as in [28, 29].

In Section 5, as an example of operators satisfying Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, we consider the second order divergence form elliptic operator

$$L:=-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$$

with complex bounded measurable coefficients. In Subsection 5.1, by making full use of the divergence structure of L, we obtain the non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 5.2 below). The proof of Theorem 5.2 mainly depends on the extrapolation theorem for $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [17, Theorem 1.3] or Lemma 5.3 below), which reduces the proof of Theorem 5.2 to some inequality in terms of the weighted Lebesgue space with constant exponent in [8]. In Subsection 5.2, as an application of the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Theorem 3.15, we show that the fractional integral $L^{-\alpha}$ is bounded from $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} - \frac{1}{q(\cdot)} = \frac{2\alpha}{n}$ (see Theorem 5.6 below). The proof of Theorem 5.6 strongly depends on a slight variant of [44, Lemma 5.2], namely, Lemma 5.5. In Subsection 5.3, by borrowing some ideas from [9, 32, 49], we prove that the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 5.14 below) via the atomic characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [44, Theorem 1.1]).

We end this section by making some conventions on notation. Throughout this article, we denote by C a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. We also use $C_{(\alpha,\beta,\ldots)}$ to denote a positive constant depending on the parameters α, β, ... The *symbol* $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \le Cg$. If $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$, then we write $f \sim g$. For any measurable subset E of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $E^{\complement}$ the *set* $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash E$ and by χ_E the characteristic function of E. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer m such that $m \le a$. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\Gamma_\alpha(x) := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : \ |y - x| < \alpha t\}. \tag{1.1}$$

If $\alpha = 1$, we simply write $\Gamma(x)$ instead of $\Gamma_\alpha(x)$.

For any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\alpha B := B(x_B, \alpha r_B)$,

$$U_0(B) := B \quad \text{and} \quad U_j(B) := (2^j B) \setminus (2^{j-1} B). \tag{1.2}$$

For any $p \in [1, \infty]$, p' denotes its conjugate number, namely, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

For any $r \in (0, \infty)$, denote by $L^r_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all *locally r-integrable functions* on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $L^r(E)$ be the set of all measurable functions f on E such that $\|f\|_{L^r(E)} := [\int_E |f(x)|^r \, dx]^{1/r} < \infty$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first describe some basic assumptions on the operator L studied throughout this article. Then we recall some notation and notions on variable Lebesgue spaces and introduce the variable Hardy spaces $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with L.

2.1 Two assumptions on the operator L

Before giving the assumptions on the operator L studied in this article, we first recall some knowledge about bounded holomorphic functional calculi introduced by McIntosh [36] (see also [3]).

Let $\omega \in [0, \pi)$. The *closed* and *open* ω *sectors*, S_ω and S_ω^0, are defined, respectively, by setting

$$S_\omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |\arg z| \le \omega\} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_\omega^0 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \ |\arg z| < \omega\}.$$

A closed operator T in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be of *type* ω if

(i) the spectrum of T, $\sigma(T) \subset S_\omega$.

(ii) for any $\theta \in (\omega, \pi)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(\theta)}$ such that, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_\theta$,

$$|z|\|(zI - T)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le C_{(\theta)},$$

here and hereafter, $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ denotes the set of all continuous linear operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself and, for any $S \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the operator norm of S is denoted by $\|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$.

For any $\mu \in (0, \pi)$, define

$$H_\infty(S_\mu^0) := \{f : \ S_\mu^0 \to \mathbb{C} \text{ is holomorphic and } \|f\|_{L^\infty(S_\mu^0)} < \infty\}$$

and

$$\Psi(S_\mu^0) := \left\{ f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0) : \ \exists\, \alpha,\, C \in (0, \infty) \text{ such that } |f(z)| \le \frac{C|z|^\alpha}{1 + |z|^{2\alpha}},\ \forall z \in S_\mu^0 \right\}.$$

For $\omega \in [0, \pi)$, let T be a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ with $\mu \in (\omega, \pi)$, the operator $\psi(T) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined by

$$\psi(T) := \int_\gamma \psi(\xi)(\xi I - T)^{-1}\, d\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\gamma := \{re^{i\nu} : \ r \in (0, \infty)\} \cup \{re^{-i\nu} : \ r \in (0, \infty)\}$, $\nu \in (\omega, \mu)$, is a curve consisting of two rays parameterized anti-clockwise. It is easy to see that the integral in (2.1) is absolutely convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the definition of $\psi(T)$ is independent of the choice of $\nu \in (\omega, \mu)$ (see [3, Lecture 2]). It is well known that the above holomorphic functional calculus defined on $\Psi(S_\mu^0)$ can be extended to $H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$ by a limiting procedure (see [36]). Recall that, for $\mu \in (0, \pi)$, the operator T is said to have a *bounded* $H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$*-functional calculus* in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\psi \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$,

$$\|\psi(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le C\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(S_\mu^0)}.$$

Let T be a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in (0, \pi/2)$. Then it follows from [41, Theorem 1.45] that T generates a bounded holomorphic semigroup $\{e^{-zT}\}_{z \in S_{\pi/2-\omega}^0}$ on the open sector $S_{\pi/2-\omega}^0$.

Now we recall the definition of off-diagonal estimates on balls which was first introduced by Auscher and Martell in [6].

Definition 2.1 ([6]). Let $p, q \in [1,\infty]$ with $p \le q$ and $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a family of sublinear operators. The family $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is said to satisfy L^p-L^q *off-diagonal estimates on balls*, denoted by $T_t \in \mathcal{O}(L^p - L^q)$, if there exist constants θ_1, $\theta_2 \in [0,\infty)$ and C, $c \in (0,\infty)$ such that, for any $t \in (0,\infty)$, any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0,\infty)$, and any $f \in L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |T_t(\chi_B f)(x)|^q\,dx\right\}^{1/q} \le C\left[\Upsilon\left(\frac{r_B}{t^{1/2}}\right)\right]^{\theta_2}\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |f(x)|^p\,dx\right\}^{1/p}$$

and, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}\cap[3,\infty)$,

$$\left\{\frac{1}{|2^jB|}\int_{U_j(B)} |T_t(\chi_B f)(x)|^q\,dx\right\}^{1/q}$$
$$\le C2^{j\theta_1}\left[\Upsilon\left(\frac{2^j r_B}{t^{1/2}}\right)\right]^{\theta_2} e^{-c\frac{(2^j r_B)^2}{t}}\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |f(x)|^p\,dx\right\}^{1/p}$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |T_t(\chi_{U_j(B)} f)(x)|^q\,dx\right\}^{1/q}$$
$$\le C2^{j\theta_1}\left[\Upsilon\left(\frac{2^j r_B}{t^{1/2}}\right)\right]^{\theta_2} e^{-c\frac{(2^j r_B)^2}{t}}\left\{\frac{1}{|2^jB|}\int_{U_j(B)} |f(x)|^p\,dx\right\}^{1/p},$$

where $U_j(B)$ is as in (1.2) and, for all $s \in (0,\infty)$, $\Upsilon(s) := \max\{s, \frac{1}{s}\}$.

Remark 2.2. (i) When $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$, the corresponding norms in Definition 2.1 should be changed into the corresponding essential suprema.

(ii) From the Hölder inequality, we deduce that, for any $p \le p_1 \le q_1 \le q$,

$$\mathcal{O}(L^p - L^q) \subset \mathcal{O}(L^{p_1} - L^{q_1}).$$

(iii) By [6, Proposition 3.2], we know that, for any $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$, $T_t \in \mathcal{O}(L^p - L^q)$ is equivalent to that T_t satisfies the $L^p - L^q$ *off-diagonal estimates*, namely, there exist positive constants C and c such that, for all $t \in (0,\infty)$, closed subsets E, F of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset E$,

$$\left[\int_F |T_t(f)(x)|^q\,dx\right]^{1/q} \le Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} e^{-\frac{c[\operatorname{dist}(E,F)]^2}{t}}\left[\int_E |f(x)|^p\,dx\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here and hereafter, for any subsets E, $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\operatorname{dist}(E,F) := \inf\{|x-y| :\ x \in E,\ y \in F\}$.

We now make the following two assumptions on the operator L, which are used through the whole article.

Assumption 2.3. L is a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$.

Assumption 2.4. There exist $p_L \in [1, 2)$ and $q_L \in (2, \infty]$, depending on L, such that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\{(tL)^k e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfy the *reinforced* (p_L, q_L) *off-diagonal estimates on balls*, namely, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $p_L < p \le q < q_L$, $(tL)^k e^{-tL} \in \mathcal{O}(L^p - L^q)$.

Remark 2.5. (i) By Remark 2.2(iii), we know that the notion of the reinforced (p_L, q_L) off-diagonal estimates on balls is the same as the reinforced (p_L, q_L) off-diagonal estimates introduced in [9].

(ii) Let L^* be the adjoint operator of L in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by [33, Theorems 5.30 and 6.22 of Chapter Three], L^* is also a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From [24, Lemma 2.6.2], it follows that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, $[(tL)^k e^{-tL}]^* = (tL^*)^k e^{-tL^*}$. Let p_L, q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, for any $p_L < p \le q < q_L$, $(tL)^k e^{-tL} \in \mathcal{O}(L^p - L^q)$ implies that $(tL^*)^k e^{-tL^*} \in \mathcal{O}(L^{q'} - L^{p'})$.

(iii) By Remark 2.2(iii), we know that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, both $(tL)^k e^{-tL}$ and $(tL^*)^k e^{-tL^*}$ satisfy L^2-L^2 off-diagonal estimates (Davies-Gaffney estimates), namely, both $(tL)^k e^{-tL}$ and $(tL^*)^k e^{-tL^*}$ satisfy (2.2) with $p = q = 2$. Moreover, by [27, Lemma 2.3], we know that there exist positive constants C and c such that, for any t, $s \in (0, \infty)$, closed subsets E, F of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in L^2(E)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset E$,

$$\|e^{-sL} e^{-tL}(f)\|_{L^2(F)} \le C e^{-c\frac{[\operatorname{dist}(E, F)]^2}{\max\{s, t\}}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}. \tag{2.3}$$

(iv) We point out that the assumption that L is one-to-one is necessary for the bounded H_∞-functional calculus on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [36, 3]). By [14, Theorem 2.3], we further know that, if T is a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T has dense domain and dense range.

Remark 2.6. Examples of operators, which satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, include:

(i) the second order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded coefficients as in [28] (see (5.1) below for its precise definition).

(ii) the *Schrödinger operator* $-\Delta + V$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the non-negative potential $V \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example, [26, 32] and related references therein).

(iii) the non-negative self-adjoint operators having the *Gaussian upper bounds*, namely, there exist positive constants C and c such that, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|p_t(x, y)| \le \frac{C}{t^{n/2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|x - y|^2}{t}\right),$$

where p_t denotes the kernel of e^{-tL}.

2.2 Variable Hardy spaces $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection, we introduce the variable Hardy space $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with recalling some notation and notions on variable Lebesgue spaces.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all the measurable functions $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$p_- := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_+ := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

A function $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a *variable exponent function on* $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_-\in(1,\,\infty)$, we define $p'(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p'(x)}=1\quad\text{for all}\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

The function p' is called the *dual variable exponent* of p.

For any $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *variable Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^{p(x)}\,dx<\infty$ equipped with the *Luxemburg (quasi-)norm*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}:=\inf\left\{\lambda\in(0,\,\infty):\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right]^{p(x)}\,dx\le1\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

For more properties of the variable Lebesgue spaces, we refer the reader to [16, 20].

Remark 2.7. Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) For any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ and $f\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\lambda f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=|\lambda|\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. In particular, if $p_-\in[1,\,\infty)$, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space (see [20, Theorem 3.2.7]) and, for any $f,\,g\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f+g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

(ii) For any non-trivial function $f\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds true that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\right]^{p(x)}\,dx=1$$

(see, for example, [16, Proposition 2.21]).

(iii) By (2.5), it is easy to see that, for any $s\in(0,\,\infty)$ and $f\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\||f|^s\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\|f\|^s_{L^{sp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

(see also [18, Lemma 2.3]).

Recall that a measurable function $g\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be *globally* $\log$*-Hölder continuous*, denoted by $g\in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if there exist constants $C_1,\,C_2\in(0,\,\infty)$ and $g_\infty\in\mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x,\,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|g(x)-g(y)|\le\frac{C_1}{\log(e+1/|x-y|)}$$

and

$$|g(x)-g_\infty|\le\frac{C_2}{\log(e+|x|)}.$$

Recall that the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator* $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by setting, for all $f\in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x):=\sup_{B\ni x}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|f(y)|\,dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 2.8. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 < p_- \le p_+ < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (see, for example, [20, Theorem 4.3.8]).

The following Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality of M on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was proved in [17, Corollary 2.1].

Lemma 2.9 ([17]). *Let $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_- \in (1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequence $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of measurable functions,*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}(f_j)]^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Assume that the operator L satisfies Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the *square function $S_{L,k}$ associated with L* is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S_{L,k}(f)(x) := \left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \left| (t^2 L)^k e^{-t^2 L}(f)(y) \right|^2 \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is as in (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$. In particular, when $k = 1$, we write S_L instead of $S_{L,k}$.

The following lemma is a special case of [8, Theorem 2.13].

Lemma 2.10 ([8]). *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4. Then, for any $p \in (p_L, q_L)$, $S_{L,k}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

We now introduce the variable Hardy spaces associated with the operator L.

Definition 2.11. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L be an operator satisfying Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. The *variable Hardy space* $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the completion of the space

$$\left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}$$

with respect to the *quasi-norm*

$$\|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{S_L(f)(x)}{\lambda} \right]^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 2.12. (i) In particular, when $p(\cdot) \equiv$ constant $\in (0, 1]$, $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was introduced in [8] as a special case.

(ii) If L is a non-negative self-adjoint operator having the Gaussian upper bounds as in Remark 2.6(iii), the variable Hardy space $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was studied in [47, 50].

(iii) Let $\varphi : \ \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a growth function as in [8] and L be an operator satisfying the reinforced off-diagonal estimates. Then Bui et al. [8] introduced the

Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy space $H_{\varphi,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with the operator L via the Lusin area function. Recall that the Musielak-Orlicz space $L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf\left\{\lambda \in (0,\infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, |f(x)|/\lambda)\,dx \le 1\right\} < \infty.$$

Observe that, if

$$\varphi(x,t) := t^{p(x)} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0,\infty), \tag{2.6}$$

then $L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, a general Musielak-Orlicz function φ satisfying all the assumptions in [8] may not have the form as in (2.6) (see [35]). On the other hand, it was proved in [46, Remark 2.23(iii)] that there exists a variable exponent function $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but $t^{p(\cdot)}$ is not a uniformly Muckenhoupt weight, which was required in [8]. Thus, Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators in [8] and variable Hardy spaces associated with operators in this article do not cover each other.

3 Molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we first recall some properties of variable tent spaces from [47, 51]. Then we establish the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3.1 Variable tent spaces

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$A(f)(x) := \left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |f(y,\,t)|^2\,\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is as in (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$. For any $q \in (0,\,\infty)$, the *tent space* $T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ is defined to be the space of all measurable functions f such that $\|f\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} := \|A(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$.

The following lemma is just [11, Theorem 2].

Lemma 3.1 ([11])**.** *Let $p \in (1,\,\infty)$. Then, for any $f \in T^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ and $g \in T^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$, the pairing*

$$\langle f,\,g\rangle := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} f(x,\,t)\overline{g(x,\,t)}\,\frac{dx\,dt}{t}$$

realizes $T^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ as the dual of $T^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$, up to equivalent norms.

Definition 3.2. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The *variable tent space* $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ is defined to be the space of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} := \|A(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *tent* over O is defined by

$$\widehat{O} := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : \ \operatorname{dist}(y, O^{\complement}) \geq t\}.$$

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that a measurable function a on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is called a $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-*atom* if there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

(i) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset \widehat{B}$;

(ii) for all $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\|a\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \leq |B|^{1/q} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

We point out that the $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-atom was first introduced in [51]. For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq 1$, any sequences $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) := \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The following lemma establishes the atomic decomposition of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is a slight variant of [51, Theorem 2.16] (see also [47, Lemma 3.3]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Then, for any $f \in T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-atoms such that, for almost every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$,*

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j a_j(x, t) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \leq C \|f\|_{T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)},$$

where, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, B_j is the ball associated with a_j and C a positive constant independent of f.

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is a slight modification of the proof of [51, Theorem 2.16] via replacing the cubes therein by balls of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the details being omitted.

Remark 3.4. (i) Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and $q \in (0, \infty)$. By [47, Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.6], we know that, if $f \in T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \cap T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$, then (3.1) holds true in both $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ and $T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$.

(ii) Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Then, by [37, Remark 4.4], we know that, for any $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j| \leq \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}})$.

3.2 Molecular characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection, we establish the molecular characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with introducing some notions.

Definition 3.5. Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (p_L, q_L)$. A function $m \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-*molecule* if $m \in R(L^M)$ (the range of L^M) and there exists a ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left\|(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k(m)\right\|_{L^q(U_j(B))} \le 2^{-j\varepsilon}|2^jB|^{1/q}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, q, $r \in (p_L, q_L)$. For a measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j m_j$ is called a *molecular* $(p(\cdot), q, r, M, \varepsilon)$-*representation* of f if $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecules, the summation converges in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies that $\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) < \infty$, where, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, B_j is the ball associated with m_j. Let

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)\\ &\quad := \{f :\ f \text{ has a molecular } (p(\cdot), q, r, M, \varepsilon)\text{-representation for some } r \in (p_L, q_L)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the *variable molecular Hardy space* $H^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the completion of $\mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the *quasi-norm*

$$\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf\left\{\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) :\ f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j m_j \text{ is a molecular } (p(\cdot), q, r, M, \varepsilon)\text{-representation for some } r \in (p_L, q_L)\right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the molecular $(p(\cdot), q, r, M, \varepsilon)$-representations of f as above.

To establish the molecular characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we need the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.7 is a slight variant of [44, Lemma 4.1] with cubes therein replaced by balls.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\underline{p} := \min\{p_-, 1\}$ and $q \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and functions $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\operatorname{supp} a_j \subset B_j$ and $\|a_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le |B_j|^{1/q}$,*

$$\left\|\left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j a_j|^{\underline{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\left\|\left[\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}|^{\underline{p}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can find a sequence $\{Q_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B_j \subset Q_j \subset \sqrt{n}B_j. \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to see that, for any $x \in \sqrt{n}B_j$, $\mathcal{M}(\chi_{B_j})(x) \geq \frac{|B_j|}{|\sqrt{n}B_j|} = n^{-\frac{n}{2}}$. Hence, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\chi_{Q_j}(x) \leq \chi_{\sqrt{n}B_j}(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}(\chi_{B_j})(x). \tag{3.4}$$

By [44, Lemma 4.1], we know that (3.2) holds true with B_j replaced by Q_j. From this, (3.3), (3.4), Remark 2.7(iii) and Lemma 2.9, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j a_j|^{\underline{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j \chi_{Q_j}|^{\underline{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^{\underline{p}} [\mathcal{M}(\chi_{B_j})]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p(\cdot)}{\underline{p}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2}{\underline{p}}} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^{\underline{p}} \chi_{B_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p(\cdot)}{\underline{p}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2}{\underline{p}}} \sim \left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}|^{\underline{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

The following lemma is just [51, Lemma 2.6] with cubes therein replaced by balls (see also [47, Lemma 3.13] and [30, Corollary 3.4]).

Lemma 3.8. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any balls B_1 and B_2 of $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $B_1 \subset B_2$,*

$$C^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_1|}{|B_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \leq \frac{\|\chi_{B_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_2}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C \left(\frac{|B_1|}{|B_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $B_i := B(x_i, r_i)$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_i \in (0, \infty)$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, denote by $Q(x, r)$ the cube centered at x with sidelength r. Let $Q_1 := Q(x_1, \frac{2r_1}{\sqrt{n}})$ and $Q_2 := Q(x_2, 2r_2)$. It is easy to see that $Q_1 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset Q_2$,

$$|B_1| \sim |Q_1| \quad \text{and} \quad |B_2| \sim |Q_2|. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\widetilde{Q}_1 := Q(x_1, 2r_1)$. Then we have $Q_1 \subset B_1 \subset \widetilde{Q}_1$. Hence,

$$\|\chi_{Q_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\chi_{B_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\|\chi_{\widetilde{Q}_1}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By [51, Lemma 2.6], we know that $\|\chi_{Q_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\chi_{\widetilde{Q}_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus,

$$\|\chi_{B_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\chi_{Q_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\chi_{B_2}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\chi_{Q_2}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, by the fact that $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ and [51, Lemma 2.6], we find that

$$\left(\frac{|Q_1|}{|Q_2|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \lesssim \frac{\|\chi_{Q_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{Q_2}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \lesssim \left(\frac{|Q_1|}{|Q_2|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}},$$

which, combined with (3.7), (3.8) and (3.6), implies (3.5). This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.8. □

The following lemma is a slight variant of [47, Remark 3.15].

Lemma 3.9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Then, for any $r \in (0, p_-)$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{2^k B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \le C 2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+})} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Let B be any ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in 2^k B$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\chi_B)(x) \ge \frac{|B|}{|2^k B|} = 2^{-kn}.$$

Therefore, for any $r \in (0, p_-)$, $\chi_{2^k B} \le 2^{kn/r}[\mathcal{M}(\chi_B)]^{1/r}$. By this, Lemma 2.9 and an argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we obtain (3.9), which completes the proof of Lemma 3.9. □

We now turn to establish the molecular characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $q \in [2, q_L)$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Definition 3.6, we know that there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, a family $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecules, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and some $r \in (p_L, q_L)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j m_j \ \text{ in } \ L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}). \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.10) and Lemma 2.10, we find that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\|S_L(f)-S_L\left(\sum_{j=1}^N\lambda_j m_j\right)\right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}=0,$$

which implies that there exists a subsequence of $\{S_L(\sum_{j=1}^N\lambda_j m_j)\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ (without loss of generality, we may use the same notation as the original sequence) such that, for almost every $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S_L(f)(x)=\lim_{N\to\infty}S_L\left(\sum_{j=1}^N\lambda_j m_j\right)(x).$$

Hence, for almost every $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, it holds true that

$$S_L(f)(x)\le\sum_{j=1}^\infty|\lambda_j|S_L(m_j)(x)=\sum_{j=1}^\infty\sum_{i=0}^\infty|\lambda_j|S_L(m_j)(x)\chi_{U_i(B_j)}(x).$$

From this, Remark 2.7 and the fact that $p_-\in(0,\,1]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-}&=\|[S_L(f)]^{p_-}\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le\sum_{i=0}^\infty\left\|\sum_{j=1}^\infty|\lambda_j|^{p_-}[S_L(m_j)\chi_{U_i(B_j)}]^{p_-}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&=\sum_{i=0}^\infty\left\|\left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty|\lambda_j|^{p_-}[S_L(m_j)\chi_{U_i(B_j)}]^{p_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

To prove Proposition 3.10, it suffices to show that there exist positive constants C and $\theta\in(n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}),\,\infty)$ such that, for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $(p(\cdot),\,q,\,M,\,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, associated with ball $B:=B(x_B,\,r_B)$ with $x_B\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B\in(0,\,\infty)$,

$$\|S_L(m)\|_{L^q(U_i(B))}\le C2^{-i\theta}|2^iB|^{1/q}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.\tag{3.13}$$

Indeed, by (3.13), we find that, for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $j\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\|2^{i\theta}\left\|\chi_{B_j}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}S_L(m_j)\chi_{U_i(B_j)}\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim|2^iB_j|^{\frac{1}{q}}.\tag{3.14}$$

Since $\theta\in(n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}),\,\infty)$, we can choose a positive constant $r\in(0,\,p_-)$ such that $n(\frac{1}{p_-}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+})<\theta$. By this, (3.14) and Lemmas 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9, we conclude that

$$\left\|\left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty|\lambda_j|^{p_-}[S_L(m_j)\chi_{U_i(B_j)}]^{p_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{-i\theta} 2^{in\frac{1}{p_-}} |\lambda_j| \left\| \chi_{2^i B_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \chi_{2^i B_j} \right]^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
$$\sim 2^{-i(\theta-\frac{n}{p_-})} \mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{2^i B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \right) \lesssim 2^{-i(\theta-\frac{n}{p_-}-\frac{n}{r}+\frac{n}{p_+})} \mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \right).$$

From this, (3.12), (3.11) and the fact that $\theta \in (n(\frac{1}{p_-}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$, we deduce that, for any $f \in \mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-[\theta-n(\frac{1}{p_-}+\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+})]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
$$\sim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which is the desired result.

Next, we prove (3.13). Indeed, when $i \in \{0, \dots, 10\}$, since S_L is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 2.10), we have

$$\|S_L(m)\|_{L^q(U_i(B))} \lesssim \|m\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.15}$$

When $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap [11, \infty)$, for any given $\eta \in (0, 1)$, we write

$$\|S_L(m)\|_{L^q(U_i(B))} = \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(m)(y)|^2 \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.16}$$
$$\lesssim \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_0^{2^{i\eta} r_B} \int_{B(x,t)} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(m)(y)|^2 \frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$+ \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_{2^{i\eta} r_B}^\infty \int_{B(x,t)} \cdots \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} =: \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}.$$

To estimate II, by Remark 2.5(iii), we find that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, $(tL)^k e^{-tL}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, it follows that

$$\mathrm{II} \le \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_{2^{i\eta} r_B}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} \left(L^{-M}(m) \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \frac{dt}{t^{4M+n+1}} \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.17}$$
$$\lesssim \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_{2^{i\eta} r_B}^\infty \left\| L^{-M}(m) \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+n+1}} \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\lesssim \left\| L^{-M}(m) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left[\int_{U_i(B)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{i\eta} r_B} \right)^{\frac{q(4M+n)}{2}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-i\eta(2M+\frac{n}{2})}2^{\frac{in}{q}}\left\|L^{-M}(m)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}|B|^{\frac{1}{q}-(\frac{2M}{n}+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

By the Hölder inequality and the fact that m is a $(p(\cdot),\, q,\, M,\, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule with $q\in[2,\, q_L)$ and $\varepsilon>n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+})>\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|L^{-M}(m)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}&\le\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{U_j(B)}\left|L^{-M}(m)(y)\right|^2\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\&\le\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{U_j(B)}\left|L^{-M}(m)(y)\right|^q\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\\&\le\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-j\varepsilon}r_B^{2M}|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\\&\lesssim|B|^{\frac{2M}{n}+\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.17), we know that

$$\mathrm{II}\lesssim 2^{-i\eta(2M+\frac{n}{2})}|2^iB|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{3.19}$$

To estimate I, for any $i\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[11,\,\infty)$, let

$$S_i(B):=\left(2^{i+1}B\right)\setminus\left(2^{i-2}B\right)\quad\text{ and }\quad\widetilde{S}_i(B):=\left(2^{i+2}B\right)\setminus\left(2^{i-3}B\right).$$

If $t\in(0,\,2^{i\eta}r_B)$ and $x\in U_i(B)$, then it is easy to see that $B(x,\,t)\subset S_i(B)$. From this, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}&\lesssim\left\{\int_{U_i(B)}\left[\int_0^{2^{i\eta}r_B}\int_{S_i(B)}\left|t^2Le^{-t^2L}\left(m\chi_{[\widetilde{S}_i(B)]^{\complement}}\right)(y)\right|^2\,\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\\&\quad+\left\{\int_{U_i(B)}\left[\int_0^{2^{i\eta}r_B}\int_{B(x,\,t)}\left|t^2Le^{-t^2L}\left(m\chi_{\widetilde{S}_i(B)}\right)(y)\right|^2\,\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\\&=:\mathrm{I}_1+\mathrm{I}_2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

For I_2, by the boundedness of S_L on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 2.10), we obtain

$$\mathrm{I}_2\lesssim\left\|S_L\left(m\chi_{\widetilde{S}_i(B)}\right)\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\left\|m\chi_{\widetilde{S}_i(B)}\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim 2^{-i\varepsilon}|2^iB|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{3.21}$$

For I_1, by Remark 2.5(iii), we know that $\{tLe^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the L^2-L^2 off-diagonal estimate. From this and the fact that $\operatorname{dist}\left([\widetilde{S}_i(B)]^{\complement},\,S_i(B)\right)\sim 2^ir_B$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{I}_1\lesssim\left\{\int_{U_i(B)}\left[\int_0^{2^{i\eta}r_B}e^{-c\frac{(2^ir_B)^2}{t^2}}\|m\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\tag{3.22}$$

$$\lesssim \|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\{ \int_{U_i(B)} \left[\int_0^{2^{i\eta} r_B} \left(\frac{t}{2^i r_B} \right)^N \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\lesssim \|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} |2^i B|^{\frac{1}{q}} 2^{-\frac{i}{2}[N(1-\eta)+\eta n]} |B|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where c is as in (2.3) and $N \in (n+1, \infty)$ to be determined later. By an argument similar to that used in the proof of (3.18), we have $\|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This, together with (3.22) and the fact that $q \in [2, q_L)$, implies that

$$\mathrm{I}_1 \lesssim 2^{-\frac{i}{2}[N(1-\eta)+\eta n]} |2^i B|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining this, (3.21), (3.20), (3.16) and (3.19), we find that, for any $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m associated with ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap [11, \infty)$,

$$\|S_L(m)\|_{L^q(U_i(B))} \lesssim 2^{-i\theta} |2^i B|^{1/q} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\theta := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}[N(1-\eta)+\eta n], \varepsilon, \eta \left(2M + \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

By choosing $\eta := \frac{1}{2}$, $N := n(\frac{8}{p_-} + 1)$ and the fact that ε, $M \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$, we find that $\theta \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$, which, together with (3.23) and (3.15), implies (3.13). This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.10. □

Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. For any $F \in T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\pi_{M,L}(F)(x) := \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L}(F(\cdot, t))(x) \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{3.24}$$

Lemma 3.11. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume that A is a $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-atom associated with ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(M,\varepsilon)}$, depending on M and ε, such that, for any $q \in [2, q_L)$, $C_{(M,\varepsilon)}\pi_{M,L}(A)$ is a $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with the ball B.*

Proof. Let A be a $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-atom associated with ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$. Then we know that, for any $q \in (p_L, q_L)$,

$$\|A\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \le |B|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.25}$$

Let

$$m := \pi_{M,L}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad b := L^{-M}(m). \tag{3.26}$$

Next, we show that m is a $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with B, up to a harmless constant multiple. Indeed, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$, $q \in [2, q_L)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (3.26) and (3.24), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(3.27)\qquad &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_B^2 L)^k(b)(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\infty r_B^{2k} t^{2(M+1)} L^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L}(A(\cdot,t))(x)\overline{g(x)}\,\frac{dt}{t}\,dx\\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} r_B^{2k} t^{2(M+1)} A(x,t)\overline{(L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x)}\,dx\,\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

From this, the fact that $\operatorname{supp} A \subset \widehat{B}$, Lemma 3.1, Remark 2.5(ii), Lemma 2.10 and (3.25), we deduce that, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$, $q \in [2, q_L)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.28)\qquad \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r_B^2 L)^k(b)(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx\right| &\le r_B^{2M} \iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)| \left|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x)\right|\,dx\,\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le r_B^{2M}\|A\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \left\|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)\right\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\\ &= r_B^{2M}\|A\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \|S_{L^*,k+1}(g)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim r_B^{2M}|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left\|(r_B^2 L)^k(b)\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim r_B^{2M}|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By this and (3.26), we conclude that, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,

$$(3.29)\qquad \left\|(r_B^{-2} L^{-1})^k(m)\right\|_{L^q(U_j(B))} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap [3, \infty)$, $q \in [2, q_L)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp} g \subset U_j(B)$, from (3.27), Remark 2.5(ii), Lemma 2.10 and (3.25), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}(3.30)\qquad &\left|\int_{U_j(B)} (r_B^2 L)^k(b)(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx\right|\\ &\le r_B^{2M} \iint_{\widehat{B}} |A(x,t)| \left|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x)\right|\,dx\,\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le r_B^{2M}\|A\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \left\|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)\chi_{\widehat{B}}\right\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}\\ &\lesssim r_B^{2M}|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)\chi_{\widehat{B}}\right\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the fact that $q' \le 2$, the Hölder inequality and Remark 2.5(ii), we find that

$$\left\|(t^2 L^*)^{k+1} e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)\chi_{\widehat{B}}\right\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)}\left|(t^2L^*)^{k+1}e^{-t^2L^*}(g)(y)\chi_{\widehat{B}}(y,\,t)\right|^2\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{q'}{2}}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q'}}\\
&\le \left\{\int_B\left[\int_0^{r_B}\int_{B(x,t)\cap B}\left|(t^2L^*)^{k+1}e^{-t^2L^*}(g)(y)\right|^2\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{q'}{2}}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q'}}\\
&\le \left\{\int_B\int_0^{r_B}\int_{B(x,t)\cap B}\left|(t^2L^*)^{k+1}e^{-t^2L^*}(g)(y)\right|^2\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\,dx\right\}^{\frac12}|B|^{\frac{1}{q'}-\frac12}\\
&\lesssim \left\{\int_0^{r_B}\int_B\left|(t^2L^*)^{k+1}e^{-t^2L^*}(g)(y)\right|^2dy\,\frac{dt}{t}\right\}^{\frac12}|B|^{\frac{1}{q'}-\frac12}\\
&\lesssim \left\{\int_0^{r_B}|B||2^jB|^{-\frac{2}{q'}}2^{2j\theta_1}e^{-c\frac{(2^jr_B)^2}{t^2}}\|g\|^2_{L^{q'}(U_j(B))}\,\frac{dt}{t}\right\}^{\frac12}|B|^{\frac{1}{q'}-\frac12}\\
&\lesssim \left\{\int_0^{r_B}2^{-2j(\frac{n}{q'}-\theta_1)}\left(\frac{t}{2^jr_B}\right)^{2N}\frac{dt}{t}\right\}^{\frac12}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(U_j(B))}\lesssim 2^{-j(N+\frac{n}{q'}-\theta)}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(U_j(B))},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the positive constants θ_1 and c are as in Definition 2.1 and $N\in\mathbb{N}$ is determined below. From this and (3.30), it follows that, for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[3,\,\infty)$ and $g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp} g\subset U_j(B)$,

$$
\left|\int_{U_j(B)}(r_B^2L)^k(b)(x)\overline{g(x)}\,dx\right|\lesssim 2^{-j(N+\frac{n}{q'}-\theta)}r_B^{2M}|B|^{\frac1q}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{L^{q'}(U_j(B))}.
$$

This further implies that

$$
\left\|(r_B^2L)^k(b)\right\|_{L^q(U_j(B))}\lesssim 2^{-j(N+n-\theta)}r_B^{2M}|2^jB|^{\frac1q}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

By choosing some $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta:=N+n-\theta>0$, we conclude that

$$
\left\|(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k(m)\right\|_{L^q(U_j(B))}\lesssim 2^{-j\eta}|2^jB|^{\frac1q}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

Combining this and (3.29), we know that $m=\pi_{M,\,L}(A)$ is a $(p(\cdot),\,q,\,M,\,\eta)_L$-molecule associated with B, up to a harmless constant multiple. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.11. □

Proposition 3.12. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot)\in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+\in(0,\,1]$. Assume $M\in\mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon\in(0,\,\infty)$ and $q\in[2,\,q_L)$, then, for any $f\in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot),\,q,\,M,\,\varepsilon)_L$-molecules, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $f=\sum_{j=1}^\infty\lambda_jm_j$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$
\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\,\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}})\le C\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

where the positive constant C is independent of f.

Proof. For any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, let $F(x, t) := t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f)(x)$. By [3, Theorem F], we know that $t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$. This, together with $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, implies that $F \in T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \cap T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$. Then, by Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4, we conclude that there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), \infty)$-atoms, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \quad \text{in} \quad T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \cap T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|F\|_{T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \sim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.32}$$

By the H_∞-functional calculi for L, we know that

$$f = C_{(M)} \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} \left(t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f)\right) \frac{dt}{t} = \pi_{M,L}(F) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.33}$$

where $C_{(M)}$ is a positive constant such that $C_{(M)} \int_0^\infty t^{2(M+2)} e^{-2t^2} \frac{dt}{t} = 1$. Via some arguments similar to those used in the proofs of (3.27) and (3.28), we conclude that $\pi_{M,L}$ is bounded from $T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [8, Proposition 4.5(i)]). From this, (3.33) and (3.31), it follows that

$$f = C_{(M)} \pi_{M,L} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \right) = C_{(M)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \pi_{M,L}(a_j) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.34}$$

Noticing that, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in [2, q_L)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_{M,L}(a_j)$ is a $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule, up to a harmless constant multiple (see Lemma 3.11), by Definition 3.6, we know that (3.34) is a $(p(\cdot), q, 2, M, \varepsilon)$-molecular representation of f. This, together with (3.32), finishes the proof of Proposition 3.12. □

Proposition 3.13. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $q \in [2, q_L)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Then the set $\mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

Proof. Observe that $\mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus, to prove Proposition 3.13, we only need to show that $\mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Indeed, for any $f \in \mathbb{H}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Definition 3.6, we find that there exist some $r \in (p_L, q_L)$, $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecules, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j m_j$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) < \infty.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_N := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j m_j$. By the fact that $q \in [2, q_L)$, it is easy to see that, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, m_j is also a $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule. Therefore, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_N \in \mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From Definition 3.6 and Remark 2.7(iii), we deduce that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_N\|_{H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda\}_{j=N+1}^{\infty}, \{B_j\}_{j=N+1}^{\infty}\right) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p_-}}_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p_-}}_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

it follows that, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}(x)}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} = 0.$$

Combining this and the dominated convergence theorem (see, for example, [20, Lemma 3.2.8]), we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p_-}}_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

By this and (3.35), we conclude that $\|f - f_N\|_{H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.13. □

Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (p_L, q_L)$, define $H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,\mathrm{fin},M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the *set of all finite linear combinations of* $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$*-molecules*.

The following corollary is obviously implied by the proof of Proposition 3.13, the details being omitted.

Corollary 3.14. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $q \in [2, q_L)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Then $H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,\mathrm{fin},M}$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{p(\cdot),q,\varepsilon}_{L,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

By Propositions 3.10, 3.12 and 3.13, we immediately conclude Theorem 3.15 below, which establishes the molecular characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the proof is obvious, we omit the details.

Theorem 3.15. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $q \in [2, q_L)$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Then $H^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.*

Corollary 3.16. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4, p_L and q_L be as in Assumption 2.4 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Suppose T is a linear operator, or a positive sublinear operator, which is bounded on $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $r \in (p_L, q_L)$. Let $q \in [2, q_L)$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Assume that there exist positive constants C and $\theta \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ such that, for any $(p(\cdot), q, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, associated with ball B of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\|T(m)\|_{L^q(U_j(B))} \le C 2^{-j\theta} |2^j B|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.36}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.13 and Theorem 3.15, we know that $\mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Hence, to complete the proof of Corollary 3.16, we only need to show that, for all $f \in \mathbb{H}^{p(\cdot), q, \varepsilon}_{L, M}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (3.36) holds true. The remainder of the proof of Corollary 3.16 is a complete analogue of the proof of Proposition 3.10, the details being omitted. This finishes the proof of Corollary 3.16. □

4 The duality of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. In this section, we mainly consider the duality of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, motivated by [28, 29], we introduce the following BMO-type space $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot), L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here and hereafter, we denote by L^* the *adjoint operator* of L.

Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, define

$$\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon, M}_{p(\cdot), L}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \mu := L^M(\nu) : \ \nu \in D(L^M), \ \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon, M}_{p(\cdot), L}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\},$$

where $D(L^M)$ denotes the domain of L^M and

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon, M}_{p(\cdot), L}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{j\varepsilon} \left|B(0, 2^j)\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left\| L^{-k}(\mu) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B(0,1)))}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{M}^{M,*}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \left(\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)^*.$$

Here and hereafter, $(\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ denotes the *dual space* of $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, the set of all the bounded linear functionals on $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for any $f \in (\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ denotes the duality between $(\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 4.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0,\,1]$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.3 and 2.4. An element $f \in \mathcal{M}^{M,*}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to belong to $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|B|^{\frac12}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \left[\int_B \left|\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L^*}\right)^M (f)(x)\right|^2 dx\right]^{\frac12} < \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 4.2. (i) We point out that (4.2) is well defined. Indeed, since $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfy the L^2-L^2 off-diagonal estimates (see Remark 2.5(iii)), it is easy to see that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\phi \in L^2(B)$, $\varepsilon \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $(I - e^{-t^2L})^M(\phi) \in \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $f \in \mathcal{M}^{M,*}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define

$$\left\langle \left(I - e^{-t^2L^*}\right)^M (f),\, \phi \right\rangle := \left\langle f,\, \left(I - e^{-t^2L}\right)^M (\phi) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then we know that there exists a positive constant $C_{(t,\,r_B,\,\mathrm{dist}\,(B,\,B(0,\,1)))}$, depending on t, r_B and $\mathrm{dist}\,(B,\,B(0,\,1))$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle \left(I - e^{-t^2L^*}\right)^M (f),\, \phi \right\rangle\right| &\le \|f\|_{(\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \left\|\left(I - e^{-t^2L}\right)^M (\phi)\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le C_{(t,\,r_B,\,\mathrm{dist}\,(B,\,B(0,\,1)))}\|f\|_{(\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}\|\phi\|_{L^2(B)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Riesz theorem, we further conclude that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0,\,\infty)$,

$$(I - e^{-t^2L^*})^M(f) \in L^2(B)$$

and

$$\left\langle \left(I - e^{-t^2L^*}\right)^M (f),\, \phi \right\rangle = \int_B (I - e^{-t^2L^*})^M(f)(x)\phi(x)\,dx.$$

Thus, (4.2) is well defined.

(ii) An element $f \in \mathcal{M}^{M,*}_{p(\cdot),L^*}$ is said to belong to $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if it satisfies (4.2) with L^* replaced by L.

The following proposition shows that elements of $\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are $(p(\cdot),2,M,\varepsilon)_L$-molecules of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and vice versa.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $p(\cdot)\in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+\in(0,1]$, $\varepsilon\in(0,\infty)$ and $M\in\mathbb{N}$. If $\mu\in\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then μ is a $(p(\cdot),2,M,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with the ball $B(0,1)$. Conversely, if m is a $(p(\cdot),2,M,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule, associated with ball $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, then $m\in\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. If $\mu\in\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, by (4.1), we find that, for any $k\in\{0,\dots,M\}$,

$$\left\|L^{-k}(\mu)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B(0,1)))}\lesssim 2^{-j\varepsilon}\left|2^jB(0,1)\right|^{\frac12}\|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which implies that μ is a $(p(\cdot),2,M,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with the ball $B(0,1)$, up to a positive constant multiple.

Conversely, if m is a $(p(\cdot),2,M,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with ball $B:=B(x_B,r_B)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B\in(0,\infty)$, then, by Definition 3.5, we know that, for any $k\in\{0,\dots,M\}$ and $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left\|L^{-k}(m)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\le 2^{-j\varepsilon}r_B^{2k}\left|2^jB\right|^{\frac12}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.4}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that there exist $l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{N}$, depending on B, such that

$$B(0,1)\subset B(x_B,2^{l_1}r_B)\quad\text{and}\quad B(x_B,r_B)\subset B(0,2^{l_2}). \tag{4.5}$$

By this and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$2^{-l_1\frac{n}{p_-}}\|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|\chi_{B(x_B,r_B)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim 2^{l_2\frac{n}{p_-}}\|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining this, (4.4) and (4.5), we find that there exists a positive constant $C_{(l_1,l_2,B)}$, depending on l_1, l_2 and B, such that, for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[l_2+1,\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|L^{-k}(m)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B(0,1)))}&\le\left\|L^{-k}(m)\right\|_{L^2\left(2^{j+l_1}B(x_B,r_B)\setminus 2^{j-1-l_2}B(x_B,r_B)\right)}\\&\le\sum_{l=-l_2}^{l_1}2^{-(j+l)\varepsilon}r_B^{2k}\left|2^{j+l}B(x_B,r_B)\right|^{\frac12}\|\chi_{B(x_B,r_B)}\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\le C_{(l_1,l_2,B)}2^{-j\varepsilon}\left|2^jB(0,1)\right|^{\frac12}\|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $j\in\{0,\dots,l_2\}$, it holds true that

$$\left\|L^{-k}(m)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B(0,1)))}\lesssim 2^{-j\varepsilon}\left|2^jB(0,1)\right|^{\frac12}\|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the implicit positive constant depends on l_1, l_2 and B. Therefore, we obtain

$$\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)}<\infty.$$

This implies that $m\in\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,M}_{p(\cdot),L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completes the proof of Proposition 4.3. □

To prove the main result of this section, we need following lemmas which are, respectively, slight variants of [28, Lemmas 8.1 and 8.4] (see also [31, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3]), the details being omitted.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p(\cdot)\in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+\in(0,\,1]$ and $M\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $f\in \mathrm{BMO}^{M}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to that*

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{M,\,\mathrm{res}}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)}:=\sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|B|^{\frac12}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\left\{\int_B\left|\left[I-(I+r_B^2L)^{-1}\right]^M(f)(x)\right|^2\,dx\right\}^{\frac12}<\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f\in\mathrm{BMO}^{M}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$C^{-1}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{M}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{M,\,\mathrm{res}}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{M}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p(\cdot)\in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+\in(0,\,1]$, $\widetilde{\varepsilon},\,\varepsilon\in(0,\,\infty)$, $M\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\widetilde{M}>M+\widetilde{\varepsilon}+\frac{n}{4}$. Suppose that $f\in\mathcal{M}^{M,\,*}_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}]^M(f)(x)|^2}{1+|x|^{n+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}}\,dx<\infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Then, for any $(p(\cdot),\,2,\,\widetilde{M},\,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, it holds true that

$$\langle f,\,m\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}=C_{(M)}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}(t^2L^*)^Me^{-t^2L^*}(f)(x)\overline{t^2Le^{-t^2L}(m)(x)}\,\frac{dx\,dt}{t},$$

where $C_{(M)}$ is a positive constant depending on M.

Remark 4.6. We point out that, for any $\widetilde{\varepsilon}\in(n(1+\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{2}{p_+}),\,\infty)$, $M\in\mathbb{N}$ and $f\in\mathrm{BMO}^{M}_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f satisfies (4.6). Indeed, by Lemma 4.4, we obtain

$$\sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|B|^{\frac12}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\left\{\int_B\left|\left[I-(I+r_B^2L^*)^{-1}\right]^M(f)(x)\right|^2\,dx\right\}^{\frac12}<\infty. \tag{4.7}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}]^M(f)(x)|^2}{1+|x|^{n+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}}\,dx\\&\quad=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{U_j(B(0,\,1))}\frac{|[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}]^M(f)(x)|^2}{1+|x|^{n+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}}\,dx\\&\quad\le\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-j(n+\widetilde{\varepsilon})}\int_{U_j(B(0,\,1))}\left|\left[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}\right]^M(f)(x)\right|^2\,dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we could choose a family $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^{c_n 2^{jn}}$ of balls with radius $r_{B_k} \equiv 1$, where the positive constant $c_n := \lfloor n^{\frac{n}{2}} - 2^{-n} \rfloor + 1$, such that, for any $k \in \{1, \dots, c_n 2^{jn}\}$,

$$B_k \subset B(0, \sqrt{n}2^j), \quad U_j(B(0,1)) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{c_n 2^{jn}} B_k \tag{4.9}$$

and, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum_{k=1}^{c_n 2^{jn}} \chi_{B_k}(x) \le 3$. From this, (4.7), (4.9) and Lemma 3.8, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\int_{U_j(B(0,1))} \left|\left[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}\right]^M(f)(x)\right|^2 dx\right\}^{\frac12}\\
&\quad\le \sum_{k=1}^{c_n 2^{jn}} \left\{\int_{B_k} \left|\left[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}\right]^M(f)(x)\right|^2 dx\right\}^{\frac12}\\
&\quad\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{c_n 2^{jn}} \|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\lesssim 2^{jn(1+\frac{1}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+})} \|\chi_{B(0,1)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this, (4.8) and the fact that $\widetilde{\varepsilon} \in (n(1+\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{2}{p_+}), \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|[I-(I+L^*)^{-1}]^M(f)(x)|^2}{1+|x|^{n+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}}\,dx &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(n+\widetilde{\varepsilon})} 2^{2jn(1+\frac{1}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+})} \|f\|^2_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim \|f\|^2_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above claim holds true.

By Lemma 4.4, we obtain the following technical lemma. The proof of Lemma 4.7 is similar to that of [28, Lemma 8.3], the details being omitted.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0,1]$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|B|^{\frac12}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \left[\iint_{\widehat{B}} \left|(t^2L)^M e^{-t^2L}(f)(x)\right|^2 \frac{dx\,dt}{t}\right]^{\frac12} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We are now ready to establish the duality between $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In what follows, let $(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ be the dual space of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, the set of all the bounded linear functionals on $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ coincides with $\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following sense:*

(i) *Let $g \in (H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$. Then $g \in \mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in H^{p(\cdot),\, 2,\, \varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds true that $g(f) = \langle g,\, f\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$. Moreover,*

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*},$$

where the positive constant C is independent of g.

(ii) *Conversely, let $g \in \mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in H^{p(\cdot),\, 2,\, \varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\, M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the linear functional given by $l_g(f) := \langle g,\, f\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$, has a unique bounded extension to $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with*

$$\|l_g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \le C\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the positive constant C is independent of g.

Proof. We first prove (i). Let $g \in (H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$. Then, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$|g(f)| \le \|g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.10}$$

By Proposition 3.10, we see that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $(p(\cdot),\, 2,\, M,\, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m,

$$\|m\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1.$$

From this and (4.10), it follows that, for any $(p(\cdot),\, 2,\, M,\, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m,

$$|g(m)| \lesssim \|g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.3, we find that, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,\, M}_{p(\cdot),\, L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,\, M}_{p(\cdot),\, L}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$, μ is a $(p(\cdot),\, 2,\, M,\, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with the ball $B(0,\, 1)$. Let $\langle g,\, \mu\rangle := g(\mu)$. This, together with (4.11), implies that $g \in (\mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon,\, M}_{p(\cdot),\, L}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, $g \in \mathcal{M}^{M,\,*}_{p(\cdot),\, L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\langle g,\, \mu\rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \langle g,\, \mu\rangle = g(\mu). \tag{4.12}$$

Next, we show that

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\, L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}. \tag{4.13}$$

We first claim that, for any $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi \in L^2(B)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(B)} = 1$,

$$\frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}(I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M(\varphi)$$

is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule. If our claim holds true, then by Proposition 4.3, (4.3), (4.12) and (4.11), we conclude that, for any $\varphi \in L^2(B)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(B)} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\int_B \left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L^*}\right)^M (g)(x)\varphi(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\quad = \left|\left\langle g,\ \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L}\right)^M (\varphi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}\right| \lesssim \|g\|_{(H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\left[\int_B \left|\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L^*}\right)^M (g)(x)\right|^2 dx\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|g\|_{(H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}.$$

Thus, (4.13) holds true.

Therefore, to prove (4.13), it remains to show the above claim. Indeed, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap [2, \infty)$, by the Minkowski inequality and Remark 2.5(iii), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(4.14)\qquad &\left\|\frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L}\right)^M (\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\ &\quad = \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\left\|r_B^{-2k}\left[\int_0^{r_B}\cdots\int_0^{r_B} 2^k t_1\cdots t_k e^{-(t_1^2+\cdots+t_k^2)L}\,dt_1\cdots dt_k\right]\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\circ (I - e^{r_B^2 L})^{M-k}(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\ &\quad \le \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} r_B^{-2k}\left[\int_0^{r_B}\cdots\int_0^{r_B} 2^k t_1\cdots t_k\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\times\left\|e^{-(t_1^2+\cdots+t_k^2)L}(I - e^{-r_B^2 L})^{M-k}(\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\,dt_1\cdots dt_k\right] \\ &\quad \lesssim \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} r_B^{-2k}\int_0^{r_B}\cdots\int_0^{r_B} 2^k t_1\cdots t_k e^{-c\frac{(2^j r_B)^2}{r_B^2}}\|\varphi\|_{L^2(B)}\,dt_1\cdots dt_k \\ &\quad \lesssim \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} e^{-c2^{2j}} \lesssim \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} 2^{-j\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\left\|\frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L}\right)^M (\varphi)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.$$

This, combined with (4.14), implies our claim.

Next, we prove (ii). To this end, we only need to show that, for any $g \in \mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in H^{p(\cdot),\,2,\,\varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\varepsilon \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\langle g,\, f\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}| \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Indeed, since the space $H^{p(\cdot),\,2,\,\varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Corollary 3.14 and Theorem 3.15), from (4.15), we deduce that the linear functional given by $l_g(f) := \langle g,\, f\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$, initially defined on $H^{p(\cdot),\,2,\,\varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has a unique bounded extension to $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\|l_g\|_{(H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To prove (4.15), let $f \in H^{p(\cdot),\,2,\,\varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then it is easy to see that $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, together with Lemma 2.10, implies that

$$t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) \in T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \cap T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+).$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.4(i), we conclude that there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $(p(\cdot),\,\infty)$-atoms, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j \quad \text{in} \quad T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \cap T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\,\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \sim \left\| t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) \right\|_{T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \sim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From this, Lemma 4.5, the Hölder inequality, the fact that $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are $(p(\cdot),\,\infty)$-atoms, Lemma 4.7 and Remark 3.4(ii), we deduce that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot),\,2,\,\varepsilon}_{L,\,\mathrm{fin},\,M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle g,\, f\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}| &= \left| C_M \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} (t^2 L^*)^M e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x) \overline{t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f)(x)}\, \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right| \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left| (t^2 L^*)^M e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x) \right| |a_j(x,\,t)|\, \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| \left[\iint_{\widehat{B_j}} \left| (t^2 L^*)^M e^{-t^2 L^*}(g)(x) \right|^2 \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right]^{\frac12} \left[\iint_{\widehat{B_j}} |a_j(x,\,t)|^2 \frac{dx\,dt}{t} \right]^{\frac12} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B_j|^{-\frac12} \|a_j\|_{T^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\,\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\sim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^M_{p(\cdot),\,L^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.8. □

5 Variable Hardy spaces associated with second order elliptic operators in divergence form

In this section, we study the variable Hardy spaces $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with second order elliptic operators L with complex bounded measurable coefficients. By making use the special structure of the divergence form elliptic operator, we establish the non-tangential maximal function characterizations of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we establish the boundedness of the associated fractional integrals and Riesz transforms on $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall that a matrix $A(x) := (A_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$ of complex-valued measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to satisfy the *elliptic condition* if there exist positive constants $\lambda \le \Lambda$ such that, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \le \Re\langle A(x)\xi, \xi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle A(x)\xi, \eta\rangle| \le \Lambda|\xi||\eta|,$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the *inner product* in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\Re\xi$ denotes the *real part* of ξ. For such a matrix $A(x)$, the associated *second order elliptic operator L in divergence form* is defined by setting, for any $f \in D(L)$,

$$Lf := -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla f), \tag{5.1}$$

which is interpreted in the weak sense via a sesquilinear form. Here and hereafter, $D(L)$ denotes the domain of L. It is well known that there exists a positive constant $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$ such that the operator L is one-to-one of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and L has a bounded H_∞-functional calculus in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example, [7, 4, 29]). Hence, L satisfies Assumption 2.3. By [6, Proposition 5.7(a)] (see also [4, Proposition 3.2]), we know that there exist positive constants $p_-(L)$ and $p_+(L)$ such that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $p_-(L) < p \le q < p_+(L)$, $\{(tL)^k e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the $L^p - L^q$ off-diagonal estimates, where

$$p_-(L) \in \left[1, \frac{2n}{n+2}\right) \quad \text{for } n \ge 3; \qquad p_-(L) = 1 \ \text{ for } n \in \{1, 2\} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$p_+(L) \in \left(\frac{2n}{n-2}, \infty\right] \quad \text{for } n \ge 3; \qquad p_+(L) = \infty \ \text{ for } n \in \{1, 2\}. \tag{5.3}$$

This, combined with Remark 2.2(iii), implies that L satisfies Assumption 2.4 with $p_L := p_-(L)$ and $q_L := p_+(L)$. Thus, a corresponding theory of the variable Hardy space $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, including its molecular characterization (see Theorem 3.15), has been already established in this article.

5.1 Non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection, we establish the non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with recalling some notions from [28].

For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, the *non-tangential maximal function* $\mathcal{N}_h^{(\alpha)}$, associated with the heat semigroup generated by L, is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{N}_h^{(\alpha)}(f)(x) := \sup_{(y,t)\in\Gamma_\alpha(x)} \left[\frac{1}{(\alpha t)^n}\int_{B(y,\,\alpha t)} \left|e^{-t^2L}(f)(z)\right|^2\,dz\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In particular, when $\alpha = 1$, we simply write $\mathcal{N}_h$ instead of $\mathcal{N}_h^{(\alpha)}$.

Similar to Definition 2.11, we introduce the Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Definition 5.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L be the second order elliptic operator as in (5.1). The Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the completion of the set

$$\left\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n):\ \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{N}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\right\}$$

with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

The following theorem establishes the non-tangential maximal function characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L be the second order elliptic operator as in* (5.1)*. Then $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincide with equivalent quasi-norms.*

To prove Theorem 5.2, we first recall some auxiliary functions introduced in [28]. For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\mathcal{R}_h(f)(x) := \sup_{t\in(0,\,\infty)} \left[\frac{1}{t^n}\int_{B(x,\,t)} \left|e^{-t^2L}(f)(y)\right|^2\,dy\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_h(f)(x) := \left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \left|t\nabla e^{-t^2L}(f)(y)\right|^2\,\frac{dy\,dt}{t^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\Gamma_\alpha(x)$ is as in (1.1).

Let $q \in [1, \infty)$. Recall that a non-negative and locally integrable function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the *Muckenhoupt class* $A_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by $w \in A_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if, when $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$A_q(w) := \sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)\,dx \left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B [w(x)]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}\,dx\right\}^{q-1} < \infty$$

or

$$A_1(w) := \sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)\,dx \left\{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in B} w(x)\right\}^{-1} < \infty,$$

where the suprema are taken over all balls B of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We also need the following lemma which is called the extrapolation theorem for $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example, [17, Theorem 1.3] and [20, Theorem 7.2.1]) and plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Lemma 5.3 ([20]). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of pairs of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an open set. Assume that, for some $p_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and any $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\int_\Omega |f(x)|^{p_0} w(x)\,dx \le C_{(w)} \int_\Omega |g(x)|^{p_0} w(x)\,dx \quad \text{for any } \ (f,\, g) \in \mathcal{F},$$

where the positive constant $C_{(w)}$ depends only on $A_1(w)$. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $p_- \in (p_0, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We first prove that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed, by [31, Lemma 5.2] (see also [28, Lemma 5.4]), we find that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $S_L(f)(x) \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_h(f)(x)$. Hence, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_h(f)\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, from [8, p. 116], we deduce that, for any $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(w)}$, depending on $A_1(w)$, such that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_h(f)(x)\right]^{p_0} w(x)\,dx \le C_{(w)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{N}_h(f)(x)]^{p_0}\, w(x)\,dx,$$

where $p_0 \in (0, p_-)$ and p_- is as in (2.4). Combining this and Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\left\|\widetilde{S}_h(f)\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By this and (5.5), we find that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|S_L(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This implies (5.4). Therefore,

$$\left[H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right] \subset \left[H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right].$$

Next, we show the reverse inclusion. To this end, it suffices to prove that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathcal{N}_h}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Indeed, from [8, p. 117], we deduce that, for any $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(w)}$, depending on $A_1(w)$, such that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{N}_h(f)(x)]^{p_0}\, w(x)\, dx \le C_{(w)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{R}_h(f)(x)]^{p_0}\, w(x)\, dx,$$

where $p_0 \in (0, p_-)$. From this and Lemma 5.3, it follows that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{N}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{R}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.7}$$

Now we prove that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_h(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.8}$$

To this end, by the fact that $\mathcal{R}_h$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [28, p. 82]) and Corollary 3.16, we know that it suffices to prove that, for any given $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $\theta \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ such that, for any $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, associated with ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_h(m)\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \lesssim 2^{-j\theta} |2^j B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.9}$$

Indeed, when $j \in \{0, \dots, 10\}$, by the boundedness of $\mathcal{R}_h$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that, for any given $\theta \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_h(m)\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \le \|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-j\theta} |2^j B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

When $j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [11, \infty)$, for any $x \in U_j(B)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_h(m)(x) &\le \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, 2^{aj-2} r_B]} + \sup_{t \in (2^{aj-2} r_B, \infty)} \right\} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(x,t)} \left| e^{-t^2 L}(m)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: \mathrm{I}_j(x) + \mathrm{II}_j(x), \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where $a \in (0, 1)$ is a positive constant to be fixed below.

To handle I_j, let $S_j(B) := (2^{j+3}B) \setminus (2^{j-3}B)$,

$$R_j(B) := (2^{j+5}B) \setminus (2^{j-5}B) \quad \text{and} \quad E_j(B) := [R_j(B)]^{\complement}.$$

Hence, $m = m\chi_{R_j(B)} + m\chi_{E_j(B)}$. When $t \in (0, 2^{aj-2}r_B]$, it is easy to see that, for any $x \in U_j(B)$, $B(x, t) \subset S_j(B)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(S_j(B), E_j(B)) \sim 2^j r_B$. By this, the fact that e^{-t^2L} satisfies the L^2-L^2 off-diagonal estimates (see Remark 2.5(iii)) and m is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.11)\qquad &\left\| \sup_{t\in(0,\,2^{aj-2}r_B]} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(\cdot,\,t)} \left| e^{-t^2L} \left(m\chi_{E_j(B)} \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac12} \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\
&\quad\le \left\| \sup_{t\in(0,\,2^{aj-2}r_B]} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{S_j(B)} \left| e^{-t^2L} \left(m\chi_{E_j(B)} \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac12} \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\
&\quad\lesssim \left\| \sup_{t\in(0,\,2^{aj-2}r_B]} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-c\frac{(2^j r_B)^2}{t^2}} \|m\|_{L^2(E_j(B))} \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{t\in(0,\,2^{aj-2}r_B]} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{t}{2^j r_B} \right)^N |2^j B|^{\frac12} \|m\|_{L^2(E_j(B))} \\
&\quad\lesssim 2^{-j[N(1-a)+\frac{n}{2}]} |2^j B|^{\frac12} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N} \cap (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ will be fixed below. By the fact that $\mathcal{R}_h$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sup_{t\in(0,\,2^{aj-2}r_B]} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(\cdot,\,t)} \left| e^{-t^2L} \left(m\chi_{R_j(B)} \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac12} \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\
&\quad\le \left\| \mathcal{R}_h \left(m\chi_{R_j(B)} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|m\|_{L^2(R_j(B))} \lesssim 2^{-j\varepsilon} |2^j B|^{\frac12} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (5.11), implies that

$$
(5.12)\qquad \|\mathrm{I}_j\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \lesssim \left[2^{-j\varepsilon} + 2^{-j[N(1-a)+\frac{n}{2}]} \right] |2^j B|^{\frac12} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

Now we consider the term II_j. For any $j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [11, \infty)$ and $x \in U_j(B)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5.13)\qquad \mathrm{II}_j(x) &= \sup_{t\in(2^{aj-2}r_B,\,\infty)} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(x,\,t)} \left| \left(t^2L\right)^M e^{-t^2L} \left(t^{-2M} L^{-M}(m) \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac12} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-2aMj} \\
&\quad\times \sup_{t\in(2^{aj-2}r_B,\,\infty)} \left[\frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(x,\,t)} \left| \left(t^2L\right)^M e^{-t^2L} \left(r_B^{-2M} L^{-M}(m) \right)(y) \right|^2 dy \right]^{\frac12} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-2aMj} \mathcal{R}_h^{(M)} \left(r_B^{-2M} L^{-M}(m) \right)(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_h^{(M)}$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{R}_h^{(M)}(f)(x) := \sup_{t\in(0,\infty)} \left[\frac{1}{t^n}\int_{B(x,t)} \left|\left(t^2L\right)^M e^{-t^2L}(f)(y)\right|^2 dy\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (5.13), the boundedness of $\mathcal{R}_h^{(M)}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [28, p. 82]) and the fact that m is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{II}_j\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} &\lesssim 2^{-2aMj}\left\|\mathcal{R}_h^{(M)}\left(r_B^{-2M}L^{-M}(m)\right)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-2aMj}\left\|\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)^M(m)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-j(2aM-\frac{n}{2})}|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this, (5.12) and (5.10), we find that, for any $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m and $j \in \mathbb{N}\cap[11, \infty)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_h(m)\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \lesssim \left[2^{-j\varepsilon} + 2^{-j[N(1-a)+\frac{n}{2}]} + 2^{-j(2aM-\frac{n}{2})}\right]|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let

$$\theta := \min\left\{\varepsilon,\, N(1-a)+\frac{n}{2},\, 2aM-\frac{n}{2}\right\}.$$

By using the fact $M \in \mathbb{N}\cap(n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$, we could fix some $a \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}\cap(\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ such that $\theta \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Thus, we obtain (5.9), which further implies (5.8). Combining (5.8) and (5.7), we obtain (5.6). This, together with (5.4) and a density argument then finishes the proof of Theorem 5.2. □

5.2 Boundedness of fractional integrals $L^{-\alpha}$

In this subsection, we show that the fractional integrals $L^{-\alpha}$ is bounded from $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with recalling some notions and well known results.

Let L be the second order elliptic operator as in (5.1) and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$. Recall that the generalized fractional integral $L^{-\alpha}$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$L^{-\alpha}(f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1}e^{-tL}(f)(x)\,dt.$$

Remark 5.4. Let $p_-(L)$ and $p_+(L)$ be as in (5.2) and (5.3). Then, by [4, Proposition 5.3], we know that, for any $p_-(L) < p < q < p_+(L)$ and $\alpha = \frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})$, $L^{-\alpha}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To establish the boundedness of $L^{-\alpha}$ on $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we need the following technical lemma, which is a slight modification of [44, Lemma 5.2] with cubes therein replaced by balls. The proof of Lemma 5.5 is direct, the details being omitted.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\eta \in (0, n)$ and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, \frac{n}{\eta})$. Define $q(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\frac{1}{q(x)} := \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{\eta}{n}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j| |B_j|^{\frac{\eta}{n}} \chi_{B_j} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \left\| \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $p(\cdot)$, $q(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with p_+, $q_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it holds true that $\frac{1}{q(x)} = \frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{2\alpha}{n}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|L^{-\alpha}(f)\|_{H_L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

Proof. Since $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to prove Theorem 5.6, we only need to show that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| S_L(L^{-\alpha}(f)) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.14}$$

From Proposition 3.12, we deduce that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecules, associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j m_j \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{5.15}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.16}$$

Let $\frac{1}{s} := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\alpha}{n}$. By Remark 5.4, we know that $L^{-\alpha}$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, together with (5.15), implies that

$$L^{-\alpha}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j L^{-\alpha}(m_j) \quad \text{in} \quad L^s(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{5.17}$$

Noticing that $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, it is easy to see that $s \in (2, p_+(L)) \subset (p_-(L), p_+(L))$. Thus, S_L is bounded on $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 2.10). Combining this and (5.17), we further know that, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $S_L(L^{-\alpha}(f))(x) \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j))(x)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(f))\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j)) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j)) \chi_{U_k(B_j)} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

To prove Theorem 5.6, it suffices to show that there exist some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and a positive constant $\widetilde{\varepsilon} \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ such that, for any $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, associated with a ball B of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m))\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))} \lesssim 2^{-k\widetilde{\varepsilon}}|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{5.19}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2\alpha}{n}$. Indeed, if (5.19) holds true, then, by the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.8, we find that, for any fixed $r \in (1, 2)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j))\chi_{U_k(B_j)}\right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim |2^k B_j|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j))\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-k\widetilde{\varepsilon}}|2^k B_j|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{|2^k B_j|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-k(\widetilde{\varepsilon}-\frac{n}{p_-})}|2^k B_j|^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}\frac{|2^k B_j|^{\frac{1}{r}}}{\|\chi_{2^k B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left\|2^{k\theta}\frac{\|\chi_{2^k B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{|2^k B_j|^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}}S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j))\chi_{U_k(B_j)}\right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |2^k B_j|^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where $\theta := \widetilde{\varepsilon} - \frac{n}{p_-}$. From this, (5.18), Remark 2.7(iii), the fact that $p_- < q_- \in (0, 1)$, Lemmas 3.7, 5.5 and 3.9, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(f))\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\quad\le \left\|\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[|\lambda_j|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m_j))\chi_{U_k(B_j)}\right]^{q_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}}\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\quad\lesssim \left\|\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{|\lambda_j||2^k B_j|^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}}{2^{k\theta}\|\chi_{2^k B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\chi_{U_k(B_j)}\right]^{q_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}}\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\quad\lesssim \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta q_-}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{|\lambda_j||2^k B_j|^{\frac{2\alpha}{n}}}{\|\chi_{2^k B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\chi_{2^k B_j}\right]^{q_-}\right\|_{L^{\frac{q(\cdot)}{q_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}}\\ &\quad\lesssim \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta q_-}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{|\lambda_j|}{\|\chi_{2^k B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\chi_{2^k B_j}\right]^{q_-}\right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}}\\ &\quad\sim \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta q_-}\left[\mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{2^k B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\right]^{q_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kq_-[\theta-n(\frac{1}{\delta}-\frac{1}{p_+})]}\left[\mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\,\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\right]^{q_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{q_-}},$$

where $\delta\in(0,\,p_-)$ to be fixed below. By the fact that $\theta=\widetilde{\varepsilon}-\frac{n}{p_-}>n(\frac{1}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+})$, we can choose some $\delta\in(0,\,p_-)$ such that $\theta>n(\frac{1}{\delta}-\frac{1}{p_+})$. By this, (5.20) and (5.16), we obtain (5.14).

To complete the proof of Theorem 5.6, there is only (5.19) left to prove. Indeed, let $\varepsilon\in(\frac{n}{q},\,\infty)$, $M\in\mathbb{N}$ and m be a $(p(\cdot),\,2,\,M,\,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule associated with ball $B:=B(x_B,\,r_B)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B\in(0,\,\infty)$. Since $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{2\alpha}{n}$ and $\alpha\in(0,\,\frac{1}{2}]$, it follows that $q\in(p_-(L),\,2)$. Then, by Remark 5.4, we know that $L^{-\alpha}$ is bounded from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, the boundedness of S_L on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 2.10), the Hölder inequality and the fact that $\varepsilon\in(\frac{n}{q},\,\infty)$, we deduce that, when $k\in\{0,\,\ldots,\,10\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(5.21)\qquad \|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m))\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}&\lesssim\|m\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\|m\|_{L^q(U_j(B))}\\&\lesssim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|2^jB|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}\|m\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\\&\lesssim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-j(\varepsilon-\frac{n}{q})}|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

When $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[11,\,\infty)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}(5.22)\quad&\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m))\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\&\le\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right]^M(m)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\&\quad+\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[I-\left(I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right)^M\right](m)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\&\lesssim\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right]^M(m)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\&\quad+\sup_{1\le l\le M}\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[\frac{l}{M}r_B^2Le^{-\frac{l}{M}r_B^2L}\right]^M\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)^M(m)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}=:\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the term I, let $S_k(B):=(2^{k+2}B)\setminus(2^{k-3}B)$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[11,\,\infty)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}&\le\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right]^M\left(m\chi_{S_k(B)}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}\\&\quad+\left\|S_L\left(L^{-\alpha}\left[I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right]^M\left(m\chi_{[S_k(B)]^{\complement}}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_k(B))}=:\mathrm{I}_1+\mathrm{I}_2.\end{aligned}$$

For I_1, by the fact that $q \in (p_-(L), 2)$ and L satisfies Assumption 2.4, we find that, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, e^{-tL} is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, the boundedness of S_L on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the boundedness of $L^{-\alpha}$ from $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}_1 &\lesssim \left\| L^{-\alpha}\left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L}\right)^M \left(m\chi_{S_k(B)}\right)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L}\right)^M \left(m\chi_{S_k(B)}\right)\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|m\|_{L^q(S_k(B))} \\ &\lesssim \|m\|_{L^2(S_k(B))}|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{-k\varepsilon}|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

For I_2, by an argument same as that used in [29, pp. 774-777], we conclude that

$$\mathrm{I}_2 \lesssim 2^{-2kM}\left(2^k r_B\right)^{2\alpha}\|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From this, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{I}_2 \lesssim 2^{-2k(M-\alpha)} r_B^{n(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-2k(M-\alpha)}|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, together with (5.23), implies that

$$\mathrm{I} \lesssim \left[2^{-k\varepsilon} + 2^{-2k(M-\alpha)}\right]|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.24}$$

By an argument similar to that used in the estimates of I, we also obtain

$$\mathrm{II} \lesssim \left[2^{-k\varepsilon} + 2^{-2k(M-\alpha)}\right]|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining this, (5.24), (5.22) and (5.21), we see that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m,

$$\|S_L(L^{-\alpha}(m))\|_{L^2(U_k(B))} \lesssim 2^{-k\widetilde{\varepsilon}}|2^k B|^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\widetilde{\varepsilon} := \min\{\varepsilon, 2(M-\alpha)\}$. Choosing $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty) \subset (\frac{n}{q}, \infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, we have $\widetilde{\varepsilon} \in (n(\frac{2}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Thus, (5.19) holds true, which completes the proof of Theorem 5.6. □

Remark 5.7. As a special case of Theorem 5.6, when $p(\cdot) \equiv p$, $q(\cdot) \equiv q$ and $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2\alpha}{n}$, we know that the operator $L^{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$) is bounded from $H^p_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^q_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which was already obtained in [29, Theorem 7.2] (see also [31, Remark 7.3]).

5.3 Boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$

In this subsection, we show that the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable Hardy spaces, denoted by $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with recalling the definition of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [37].

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the *space of all Schwartz functions* and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the *space of all Schwartz distributions*. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\beta| \le N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^N \left| D^\beta \psi(x) \right| \le 1 \right\},$$

where, for any $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$ and $D^\beta := (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\beta_1} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\beta_n}$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the *grand maximal function* $\mathcal{M}_F$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}_N(f)(x) := \sup\{|\psi_t * f(x)| : \ t \in (0, \infty), \psi \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi_t(\xi) := t^{-n}\psi(\xi/t)$.

Definition 5.8 ([37])**.** Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $N \in (\frac{n}{p_-} + n + 1, \infty)$. Then the *variable Hardy space* $p(\cdot)$, denoted by $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is defined by

$$H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{M}_N(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Remark 5.9. Nakai and Sawano [37, Theorem 3.3] showed that the definition of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of N as long as N is sufficiently large, without presenting the explicit range of N. Then it was pointed out in [51, Remark 1.3(ii)] that $N \in (\frac{n}{p_-} + n + 1, \infty)$ does the job.

An important fact of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is that every element in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits an atomic decomposition, which was first established in [37]. Let us first recall the definition of $(p(\cdot), q, s)$-atoms as follows.

Definition 5.10 ([37])**.** Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 < p_- \le p_+ < q \le \infty$ with $q \ge 1$. Fix an integer $s \ge d_{p(\cdot)} := \min\{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \ p_-(n+d+1) > n\}$. A measurable function a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a $(p(\cdot), q, s)$-atom associated with ball B of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if

(i) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset B$;

(ii) $\|a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le |B|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;

(iii) for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \le s$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x) x^\alpha \, dx = 0$.

The following lemma is just [44, Theorem 1.1], which establishes the atomic decomposition of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [37]). Recall that, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor s \rfloor$ denotes the maximal integer not more than s.

Lemma 5.11 ([44])**.** *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$.*

(i) *Let $q \in (p_+, \infty] \cap [1, \infty]$ and $s := \lfloor \frac{n}{p_-} - n \rfloor$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, any family $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), q, s)$-atoms associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) < \infty$, it holds true that $f := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j a_j$ converges in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}})$.*

(ii) *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{a_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are a family of $(p(\cdot), \infty, s)$-atoms associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, it holds true that*

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \le C\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the positive constant C is independent of f.

The following proposition is an analogue of [49, Proposition 4.7] (see also [9, 32]), its proof being omitted.

Proposition 5.12. *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{n}{n+1} < p_- \le p_+ \le 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Suppose that $m \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a function satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(x)\,dx = 0$ and there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\|m\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \le 2^{-j\varepsilon}|2^j B|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then*

$$m = C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j\varepsilon}\alpha_j\right) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\{\alpha_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of $(p(\cdot), 2, 0)$-atoms associated with balls $\{2^{j+1}B\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and C a positive constant independent of m.

To establish the boundedness of $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ on $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also need the following technical lemma, which was proved in [28, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 5.13 ([28])**.** *Let L be the second order elliptic operator as in* (5.1)*. Then there exist positive constants C and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M > n/4$ such that, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, closed subsets E, $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\operatorname{dist}(E, F) > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset E$,*

$$\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(I - e^{-tL}\right)^M (f)\right\|_{L^2(F)} \le C\left(\frac{t}{[\operatorname{dist}(E, F)]^2}\right)^M \|f\|_{L^2(E)}$$

and

$$\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(tLe^{-tL}\right)^M (f)\right\|_{L^2(F)} \le C\left(\frac{t}{[\operatorname{dist}(E, F)]^2}\right)^M \|f\|_{L^2(E)}.$$

Theorem 5.14. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{n}{n+1} < p_- \le p_+ \le 1$ and L be the second order elliptic operator as in* (5.1)*. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}(f)\right\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. Since $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to prove Theorem 5.14, we only need to show that (5.25) holds true for all $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Indeed, by Proposition 3.12, we find that, for any $f \in H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a family $\{m_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecules associated with balls $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j m_j \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.27}$$

From (5.26) and the boundedness of $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce that

$$\nabla L^{-1/2}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \nabla L^{-1/2}(m_j) \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{5.28}$$

Here and hereafter, for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\nabla L^{-1/2}(g) := (\partial_1 L^{-1/2}(g), \dots, \partial_n L^{-1/2}(g)).$$

Let $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (n(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (n(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$. Next, we show that, for any $(p(\cdot), 2, M, \varepsilon)_L$-molecule m, associated with ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left[\sum_{l=1}^{n} \left| \partial_l L^{-1/2}(m) \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} &=: \left\| \nabla L^{-1/2}(m) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j\theta} |2^j B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where $\theta \in (n(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{p_+}), \infty)$.

Indeed, when $j \in \{0, \dots, 10\}$, from the boundedness of $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that

$$\left\| \nabla L^{-1/2}(m) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \lesssim \|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

When $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cap [11, \infty)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \nabla L^{-1/2}(m) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\ &\quad \le \left\| \nabla L^{-1/2} \left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L} \right)^M (m) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \\ &\qquad + \left\| \nabla L^{-1/2} \left[I - \left(I - e^{-r_B^2 L} \right)^M \right] (m) \right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

$$\lesssim \left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right)^M(m)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}$$
$$+\sup_{1\le k\le M}\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(\frac{k}{M}r_B^2Le^{-\frac{k}{M}r_B^2L}\right)^M\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)^M(m)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}=:\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}.$$

We first estimate I. For any $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+\cap[11,\infty)$, let $S_j(B):=(2^{j+1}B)\setminus(2^{j-2}B)$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{dist}\left([S_j(B)]^{\complement},U_j(B)\right)\sim 2^jr_B$. From this, the boundedness of $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Lemma 5.13, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{I}&\le\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right)^M\left(m\chi_{S_j(B)}\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\\&\quad+\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(I-e^{-r_B^2L}\right)^M\left(m\chi_{[S_j(B)]^{\complement}}\right)\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\\&\lesssim\|m\|_{L^2(S_j(B))}+\left(\frac{r_B}{2^jr_B}\right)^{2M}\|m\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\lesssim\left[2^{-j\varepsilon}+2^{-j(2M+\frac{n}{2})}\right]|2^jB|^{\frac12}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.31}$$

By an argument similar to that used in the proof of (5.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{II}&\le\sup_{1\le k\le M}\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(\frac{k}{M}r_B^2Le^{-\frac{k}{M}r_B^2L}\right)^M\left[\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)^M(m)\chi_{S_j(B)}\right]\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\\&\quad+\sup_{1\le k\le M}\left\|\nabla L^{-1/2}\left(\frac{k}{M}r_B^2Le^{-\frac{k}{M}r_B^2L}\right)^M\left[\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)^M(m)\chi_{[S_j(B)]^{\complement}}\right]\right\|_{L^2(U_j(B))}\\&\lesssim\left\|\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)(m)\right\|_{L^2(S_j(B))}+\left(\frac{r_B}{2^jr_B}\right)^{2M}\left\|\left(r_B^{-2}L^{-1}\right)(m)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\lesssim\left[2^{-j\varepsilon}+2^{-j(2M+\frac{n}{2})}\right]|2^jB|^{\frac12}\|\chi_B\|^{-1}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (5.31) and (5.30), implies (5.29) with

$$\theta:=\min\left\{\varepsilon,\,2M+\frac{n}{2}\right\}\in\left(n\left[\frac{1}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}\right],\infty\right).$$

On the other hand, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of [31, Theorem 7.4], we know that, for any $(p(\cdot),\,2,\,M,\,\varepsilon)_L$-molecule m and $l\in\{1,\dots,n\}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial_lL^{-1/2}(m)(x)\,dx=0.$$

From this, (5.29), Proposition 5.12 and (5.28), it follows that, for any $l\in\{1,\dots,n\}$,

$$\partial_lL^{-1/2}(f)=\widetilde{C}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_j2^{-k\theta}\alpha_{j,\,k}\right)\quad\text{in }\ L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),\tag{5.32}$$

where $\{\alpha_{j,k}\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of $(p(\cdot), 2, 0)$-atoms associated with balls $\{2^{k+1}B_j\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant independent of f. Noticing that $p_-\in(\frac{n}{n+1}, 1]$, we then know that $s:=\lfloor n(\frac{1}{p_-}-1)\rfloor=0$. From this, Lemma 5.11(i), (5.32), Remark 2.7, Lemma 3.9, the fact that $\theta\in(n(\frac{1}{p_-}-\frac{1}{p_+}),\infty)$ and (5.27), we deduce that, for any $f\in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $l\in\{1,\dots,n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_l L^{-1/2}(f)\right\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}&\lesssim\mathcal{A}\left(\left\{\lambda_j 2^{-k\theta}\right\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}},\left\{2^{k+1}B_j\right\}_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\\
&\sim\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta p_-}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{|\lambda_j|\chi_{2^{k+1}B_j}}{\|\chi_{2^{k+1}B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\right]^{p_-}\right\|^{\frac{1}{p_-}}_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta p_-}\left\|\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{|\lambda_j|\chi_{2^{k+1}B_j}}{\|\chi_{2^{k+1}B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\right]^{p_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\right\|^{p_-}_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\\
&\sim\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta p_-}\left[\mathcal{A}\left(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\left\{2^{k+1}B_j\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\right]^{p_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\\
&\lesssim\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k\theta p_-}2^{kn(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+})p_-}\left[\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}})\right]^{p_-}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}})\lesssim\|f\|_{H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r\in(0,\frac{1}{p_-})$ such that $\theta>n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_+})$. Therefore, (5.25) holds true for any $f\in H^{p(\cdot)}_L(\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 5.14. □

Remark 5.15. When $p(\cdot)\equiv p$ with $p\in(\frac{n}{n+1},1]$, Theorem 5.14 was established in [29, Proposition 5.6] (see also [31, Theorem 7.4]).

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professor Sibei Yang for some helpful conversations on this topic.

References

[1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 164 (2002), 213-259.

[2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$-Laplacean system, J. Reine Angew. Math. 584 (2005), 117-148.

[3] D. Albrecht, X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Operator theory and harmonic analysis, in: Instructional Workshop on Analysis and Geometry, Part III (Canberra, 1995), 77-136, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ., 34, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1996.

[4] P. Auscher, On Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for L^p-Estimates of Riesz Transforms Associated to Elliptic Operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Related Estimates, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (2007), no. 871, xviii+75 pp.

[5] P. Auscher, X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Boundedness of Banach space valued singular integral operators and Hardy spaces, unpublished manuscript, 2005.

[6] P. Auscher and J. M. Martell, Weighted norm inequalities, off-diagonal estimates and elliptic operators. II. Off-diagonal estimates on spaces of homogeneous type, J. Evol. Equ. 7 (2007), 265-316.

[7] P. Auscher and P. Tchamitchian, Square Root Problem for Divergence Operators and Related Topics, Astérisque 249 (1998).

[8] T. A. Bui, J. Cao, L. D. Ky, D. Yang and S. Yang, Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces 1 (2013), 69-129.

[9] T. A. Bui, J. Cao, L. D. Ky, D. Yang and S. Yang, Weighted Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates, Taiwanese J. Math. 17 (2013), 1127-1166.

[10] Y. Chen, W. Guo, Q. Zeng and Y. Liu, A nonstandard smoothing in reconstruction of apparent diffusion coefficient profiles from diffusion weighted images, Inverse Probl. Imaging 2 (2008), 205-224.

[11] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, J. Funct. Anal. 62 (1985), 304-335.

[12] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes, Étude de certaines intégrales singulières, (French) Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.

[13] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569-645.

[14] M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh and A. Yagi, Banach space operators with a bounded H^∞ functional calculus, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 60 (1996), 51-89.

[15] D. Cruz-Uribe, The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on variable-L^p spaces, Seminar of Mathematical Analysis (Malaga/Seville, 2002/2003), 147-156, Colecc. Abierta, 64, Univ. Sevilla Secr. Publ., Seville, 2003.

[16] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces, Foundations and Harmonic Analysis, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[17] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 31 (2006), 239-264.

[18] D. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. D. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 63 (2014), 447-493.

[19] L. Diening, Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$, Math. Inequal. Appl. 7 (2004), 245-253.

[20] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2017. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[21] X. T. Duong and J. Li, Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates and bounded holomorphic functional calculus, J. Funct. Anal. 264 (2013), 1409-1437.

[22] X. T. Duong and L. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation, and applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 1375-1420.

[23] X. T. Duong and L. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), 943-973.

[24] M. Haase, The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 169. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[25] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and V. Latvala, Minimizers of the variable exponent, non-uniformly convex Dirichlet energy, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 89 (2008), 174-197.

[26] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L. Yan, Hardy Spaces Associated to Non-negative Self-adjoint Operators Satisfying Davies-Gaffney Estimates, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 214 (2011), no. 1007, vi+78 pp.

[27] S. Hofmann and J. M. Martell, L^p bounds for Riesz transforms and square roots associated to second order elliptic operators, Publ. Mat. 47 (2003), 497-515.

[28] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, Math. Ann. 344 (2009), 37-116.

[29] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh, Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p, Sobolev and Hardy spaces, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 44 (2011), 723-800.

[30] M. Izuki, Vector-valued inequalities on Herz spaces and characterizations of Herz-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 45(65) (2010), 475-503.

[31] R. Jiang and D. Yang, New Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence form elliptic operators, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 1167-1224.

[32] R. Jiang and D. Yang, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, Commun. Contemp. Math. 13 (2011), 331-373.

[33] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Reprint of the 1980 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[34] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (116) (1991), 592-618.

[35] L. D. Ky, New Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and boundedness of sublinear operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 78 (2014), 115-150.

[36] A. McIntosh, Operators which have an H^∞ functional calculus, in: Miniconference on Operator Theory and Partial Differential Equations (North Ryde, 1986), 210-231, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 14, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1986.

[37] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 3665-3748.

[38] H. Nakano, Modulared Semi-Ordered Linear Spaces, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1950.

[39] H. Nakano, Topology of Linear Topological Spaces, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1951.

[40] W. Orlicz, Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen, Studia Math. 3 (1931), 200-211.

[41] E. M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, 31. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
[42] M. Růžička, Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1748. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
[43] M. Sanchón and J. Urbano, Entropy solutions for the $p(x)$-Laplace equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 6387-6405.
[44] Y. Sawano, Atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces with variable exponents and its application to bounded linear operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 77 (2013), 123-148.
[45] D. Yang and S. Yang, Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators and their applications, J. Geom. Anal. 24 (2014), 495-570.
[46] D. Yang, W. Yuan and C. Zhuo, Musielak-Orlicz Besov-type and Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces, Rev. Mat. Complut. 27 (2014), 93-157.
[47] D. Yang and C. Zhuo, Molecular characterizations and dualities of variable exponent Hardy spaces associated with operators, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. (to appear) or arXiv: 1512.05950.
[48] D. Yang, C. Zhuo and E. Nakai, Characterizations of variable exponent Hardy spaces via Riesz transforms, Rev. Mat. Complut. (2016), DOI: 10.1007/s13163-016-0188-z or arXiv: 1508.05456.
[49] J. Zhang, J. Cao, R. Jiang and D. Yang, Non-tangential maximal function characterizations of Hardy spaces associated to degenerate elliptic operators, Canad. J. Math. 67 (2015), 1161-1200.
[50] C. Zhuo and D. Yang, Maximal function characterizations of variable Hardy spaces associated with non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates, Submitted.
[51] C. Zhuo, D. Yang and Y. Liang, Intrinsic square function characterizations of Hardy spaces with variable exponents, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. DOI 10.1007/s40840-015-0266-2 or arXiv: 1411.5535.

Dachun Yang, Junqiang Zhang (Corresponding author) and Ciqiang Zhuo

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mails: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang)
zhangjunqiang@mail.bnu.edu.cn (J. Zhang)
cqzhuo@mail.bnu.edu.cn (C. Zhuo)